

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COMPANY	
10/051,906	01/16/2002	Chao-Yuan Su	67,200-630	CONFIRMATION NO.	
7500			67,200-630	1799	
TUNG & ASSOCIATES		EXAMINER			
Suite 120	OCIATES		RUGGLES, JOHN S		
838 W. Long Lake Road Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1756		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	#			
		10/051,906	SU ET AL.	•			
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		John Ruggles	1756				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe						
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
fee h	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The clave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in a ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF fextension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months offer the partition.	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensiount of the fee. The appropriate extension	on on			
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on 12 July 2004. Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	he period set forth in the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
i	(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
4	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.🔀	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,8,10-19 and 21-24</u> .						
8.	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .	_					
	3 a) approx	/ed or b) disapproved by the	Examiner.				
	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠	Other: See Continuation Sheet)	P. Reggles				
S. Patent	and Trademark Office	Ε	lohn Ruggles Examiner, Art Unit 1756 571-272-1390				

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following objections and rejection(s): the previous objections to the specification and claims, as well as the previous rejections under the first and second paragraphs of 35 USC 112.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all of remaining claims 1-4, 8, 10-19, and 21-24 still stand rejected under 35 USC 103 for the same reasons as previously set forth in the Final Rejection mailed on 6 April 2004. The current claim amendments and accompanying remarks do not even address this prior art rejection.

Continuation of 10. Other: The period for reply to this Office action is the same as that given in the separate Notification of Non-compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c), in response to the defective appeal brief filed on 3 September 2004. A single response to the latter notification is expected.

ohn Ruggles

Examiner, Art Unit 1756

571-272-1390

MARK E MEE

SUPERVISOR PLANTER

TECHNOLOWY CENTER 1700